IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH

AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER

TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 4923 OF 2001

Between:

Bonu Chandrinaidu, S/o.Jagannadhanaidu,

Kotharoad (JN), Pedanaidu Palem,

Sabbavaram (Mandal), Visakhapatnam District-531 035.

….. PETITIONER

AND

1. The Registrar, Andhra University,

Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

2. The Director, Department of School Education,

A.P., Hyderabad.

3. The Principal, M.R.College of Education,

Vizianagaram, Vizianagaram District.

….. RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, order or direction directing the 1st respondent to issue clarification to the 3rd respondent herein forthwith clarifying that B.Ed. Degree issued by Annamalai University, Tamilnadu is equivalent to the B.Ed. Degree issued by Andhra University, Waltair, Visakhapatnam District by declaring the action of the respondents in not permitting the petitioner to prosecute M.Ed. Course in the 3rd respondent College basing upon the B.Ed. Degree certificate issued by the Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, as

arbitrary, illegal and unconstitutional.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. T.NAGARJUNA REDDY

Counsel for Respondent No.1: T.RAJENDRA PRASAD (STANDING COUNSEL FOR ANDHRA UNIVERSITY)

Counsel for Respondent No.2: GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION

Counsel for Respondent No.3: None appeared

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

-

The petitioner filed the writ petition seeking a declaration to the first respondent to issue clarification to the third respondent, clarifying that the B.Ed., Degree issued by Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu is equivalent to the B.Ed., Degree issued by Andhra University, Waltair. The petitioner also sought a further declaration declaring the action of the respondents in not permitting the petitioner to prosecute M.Ed. Course in the third respondent College.

This Court, while admitting the writ petition, by order dated 20-03-2001 in W.P.M.P.No.6297 of 2001 passed interim orders directing the respondents to permit the petitioner to attend M.Ed. Classes for the academic year 2000-2001. The said Course is for a period of two years and in probability, the petitioner has completed the Course. Therefore, nothing survives in the writ petition.

The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.
No costs.

_____

V.V.S. RAO, J

November 10, 2004.

Ak

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Registrar, Andhra University,

Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

2. The Director, Department of School Education,

A.P., Hyderabad.

3. The Principal, M.R.College of Education,

Vizianagaram, Vizianagaram District.

4. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for School Education,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T).

5. Two CD copies.